arXiv:1401.1810v1 [hep-th] 8 Jan 2014

Covariant Non-Commutative Space-Time

Jonathan J. Heckman[1*] and Herman Verlinde [2†]

[1]Jefferson Physical Laboratory, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

[2]Department of Physics, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

Abstract

We introduce a covariant non-commutative deformation of 3+1-dimensional conformal field theory. The deformation introduces a short-distance scale ℓ_p, and thus breaks scale invariance, but preserves all space-time isometries. The non-commutative algebra is defined on space-times with non-zero constant curvature, i.e. dS_4 or AdS_4. The construction makes essential use of the representation of CFT tensor operators as polynomials in an auxiliary polarization tensor. The polarization tensor takes active part in the non-commutative algebra, which for dS_4 takes the form of $so(5,1)$, while for AdS_4 it assembles into $so(4,2)$. The structure of the non-commutative correlation functions hints that the deformed theory contains gravitational interactions and a Regge-like trajectory of higher spin excitations.

January 2014

*e-mail: jheckman@physics.harvard.edu

†e-mail: verlinde@princeton.edu

1. Introduction

One of the basic ways to generalize the classical notion of space-time is non-commutative geometry [1]. An especially attractive feature of non-commutative generalizations of quantum field theory is the natural appearance of a minimal resolution length scale. Non-commutativity may thus act as a UV regulator. However, most known implementations of space-time non-commutativity have the substantial drawback that they explicitly violate Lorentz invariance. For many reasons, it would therefore be of special interest to find examples of covariant non-commutative space-times, that preserve all global symmetries of the underlying classical space-time [2–4].

In this paper we propose a covariant non-commutative (CNC) deformation of a general 3+1-D conformal field theory (CFT) defined a homogeneous space-time with constant positive or negative curvature. We focus on the application to 3+1-D de Sitter space-time dS_4, though the discussion can be easily generalized to 3+1-D anti-de Sitter space-time AdS_4. 3+1-D de Sitter space-time is described by an embedding equation of the form

$$\eta^{AB} X_A X_B \;=\; R^2 \tag{1}$$

where A, B run from 0 to 4, $\eta_{AB} = (-,+,+,+,+)$ is the 5D Minkowski metric, and R specifies the curvature radius. For AdS_4, we take $\eta_{AB} = (-,+,+,+,-)$, and replace $R^2 \to -R^2$.

Like any known non-commutative deformation of space-time, we will postulate that the position operators X_A satisfy a non-trivial commutation relation of the general form

$$\big[X_A, X_B\big] \;=\; i\hbar\ell^2\, S_{AB}. \tag{2}$$

Here ℓ is a short-distance length scale, and S_{AB} is a (dimensionless) anti-symmetric tensor, with $A, B = 0, ..., 4$. If S_{AB} where some *fixed* anti-symmetric tensor, equation (2) would break Lorentz invariance. To obtain a covariant non-commutative deformation, the isometry group of 3+1-D de Sitter space-time would need to act both on the coordinates X_A and the tensor S_{AB}, via the infinitesimal $SO(4,1)$ generators M_{AB}

$$[M_{AB}, X_C] \;=\; i\hbar\big(\eta_{AC} X_B - \eta_{BC} X_A\big), \tag{3}$$

$$[M_{AB}, S_{CD}] \;=\; i\hbar\big(\eta_{AC} S_{BD} + \eta_{BD} S_{AC} - \eta_{AD} S_{BC} - \eta_{BC} S_{AD}\big)\,. \tag{4}$$

However, to justify its non-trivial transformation property, S_{AB} should represent an active degree of freedom similar to the space-time coordinates X_A. How can this be arranged?

Recent studies of CFT correlation functions of primary tensor operators [5] have made successful use of an extension of the embedding formalism [6,7], in which the tensor operators are encoded by polynomials in an auxiliary polarization vector P_A. Tangent directions to the

de Sitter embedding equation (1) can be folded into anti-symmetric tensors $S_{AB} = X_{[A}P_{B]}$. Tensor operators $\mathcal{O}_{A_1..A_j}(X)$ can thus be promoted into functions $\mathcal{O}(X,S)$ defined on an extension of space-time TdS_4. This formalism has the payoff that CFT correlators of tensor operators take the form of correlators of scalar operators $\mathcal{O}(X,S)$ on the extended space-time [5]. Space-time isometries act on these correlators by transforming all positions and spin variables simultaneously, as in equation (3)-(4).

This use of an extended space-time sheds new light on how to obtain covariant non-commutativity. It is natural to look for possible ways to identify the anti-symmetric tensor S_{AB} that encodes the spin of tensor CFT operators with the tensor S_{AB} that appears in the space-time commutator algebra (2). In this paper we will show that this idea can indeed be utilized to construct a covariant non-commutative deformation of a general 3+1-D CFT.

To obtain a self-consistent implementation, the spin variables S_{AB} also need to acquire a non-trivial commution relation. The non-commutative version of S_{AB} is obtained from its commutative cousin via the replacement

$$S_{AB} \;\rightarrow\; S_{AB} + M_{AB} \tag{5}$$

with M_{AB} the $so(4,1)$ generators (3). The quantized S_{AB} thus satisfy an $so(4,1)$ algebra. Combined with equation (2), the total CNC algebra extends to $so(5,1)$, the Lie algebra of the Lorentz group in 6 dimensions, under which the space-time coordinates and spin variables transform as an anti-symmetric tensor Z_{IJ}, defined via $Z_{5A} = X_A$ and $Z_{AB} = \ell S_{AB}$.

The scale of non-commutativity is set by the short distance length scale $\ell_p = \hbar\ell$. We also introduce the dimensionless ratio

$$N = R/\ell_p. \tag{6}$$

We will assume that $\hbar$ is small and that N is very large.

The interpretation of the $so(5,1)$ Lie algebra as a covariant non-commutative space-time algebra was first proposed in a short note by C.N. Yang, published in 1947 [3], soon after Snyder's earlier work [2]. Somewhat surprisingly, possible concrete physical realizations of Yang's proposal have to our knowledge not been actively investigated since.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we summarize the embedding formalism for tensor operators in 3+1-D CFT and introduce the $SO(5,1)$ invariant notation. In section 3 define the covariant non-commutative space-time algebra, and write it in terms of local Minkowski coordinates. In sections 4 and 5 we construct the star product and use this to define the covariant non-commutative deformation of the CFT correlation functions. In section 6 we present a spinor formulation of the covariant non-commutative space-time algebra. We end with some concluding comments in section 7.

2. Tensor Operators as Polynomials

Here we briefly summarize the generalized embedding formalism for CFT correlators of tensor primary operators. A more detailed discussion can be found in [5].

Let X_A denote the 5D embedding coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^{4,1}$, in which 3+1-D de Sitter space is defined as the subspace (1). A general spin j primary operator $\mathcal{O}_{A_1\ldots A_j}(X)$ in a CFT defined on 3+1-D de Sitter space-time (1) is symmetric, traceless and transverse

$$X^A\mathcal{O}_{AA_2\ldots A_j}(X) = 0, \qquad \eta^{AB}\mathcal{O}_{AA_2..B..A_j}(X) = 0. \tag{7}$$

The transversality constraint ensures that the tensor indices represent tangent vectors to the space-time manifold dS_4. Any such tensor operator can be represented as a polynomial in an auxilary polarization vector P_A via

$$\mathcal{O}(X,P) = \mathcal{O}_{A_1\ldots A_\ell}(X)P^{A_1}\cdots P^{A_j}\,. \tag{8}$$

As long as the P_A mutually commute, the polynomial $\mathcal{O}(X,P)$ automatically represents a symmetric tensor. The transversality and tracelessness conditions (7) furthermore imply that the polynomials $\mathcal{O}(X,P)$ satisfy the differential equations

$$X_A\frac{\partial}{\partial P_A}\mathcal{O}(X,P) \;=\; 0 \qquad \text{(transverse)} \tag{9}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial P^A\partial P_A}\mathcal{O}(X,P) \;=\; 0 \qquad \text{(traceless)} \tag{10}$$

Traceless tensors thus correspond to harmonic polynomials in the polarization vector P_A.

The transversality condition (9) implies that $\mathcal{O}(X,P+\alpha X) = \mathcal{O}(X,P)$ for any α. Solutions to this condition are characterized by the property that the polarization variable P_A only appears in the anti-symmetric combination [5]

$$S_{AB} \;=\; X_AP_B - X_BP_A. \tag{11}$$

So instead of using the vector P_A, we can choose to write the transverse tensor operators as functions $\mathcal{O}(X,S)$. The property that S_{AB} takes the form (11) is enforced by requiring that

$$\varepsilon^{ABCDE}S_{AB}X_C \;=\; 0. \tag{12}$$

One readily sees that this condition implies that S_{AB} must have one leg in the X_A direction. Note that it automatically follows that $\varepsilon^{ABCDE}S_{AB}S_{CD} = 0$. In terms of the variable S_{AB},

the tracelessness condition (10) takes the form

$$\Big(X^A \frac{\partial}{\partial S^{AB}}\Big)^2 \mathcal{O}(X,S) = 0\,. \tag{13}$$

To build in the anomalous scale dependence of CFT correlation functions, it is often convenient to extend the embedding formalism to a 6D space-time $\mathbb{R}^{4,2}$ with (4,2) signature $(-,+,+,+,+,-)$, by adding a time-like coordinate X_5. The 6D coordinates are then restricted to lie on the null cone $X^A X_A - X_5^2 = 0$, on which the conformal group $SO(4,2)$ naturally acts [6, 7]. Conformal operators are homogenous functions of dimension $-\Delta$

$$\mathcal{O}(\lambda X, \lambda S) = \lambda^{-\Delta}\, \mathcal{O}(X,S)\,. \tag{14}$$

The projection down to dS_4 amounts to gauge fixing the projective symmetry by setting $X_5 = R$. Below, we will work in the gauge fixed formulation. This is sufficient for our purpose, since scale invariance will be broken anyhow by the non-commutative deformation.

Summary: We can represent primary tensor operators on 3+1-D de Sitter space-time as harmonic functions of the generalized coordinates (X_A, S_{AB}) subject to the constraints (1) and (12). As a simple example of how the formalism works, the 2-point function of two spin j operators with conformal dimension Δ is given by [5]

$$\Big\langle \mathcal{O}(X_2,S_2)\, \mathcal{O}(X_1,S_1) \Big\rangle \;=\; const.\; \frac{\big(S_1 \cdot S_2\big)^j}{\big(R^2 - X_1 \cdot X_2\big)^{\Delta+j}} \tag{15}$$

For now, the coordinates X_A and spin variables S_{AB} seem to stand on rather different footing: the former refer to actual space-time points, while the latter are just a convenient packaging of polarization indices. The two types of variables can however be naturally unified as coordinates of an extended space-time, given by the tangent space TdS_4 to 3+1-D de Sitter. We can think of the extended space-time TdS_4 as analogous to superspace, used for grouping supermultiplets in supersymmetric theories into single superfields, except that now the extra polarization variables are bosonic rather than fermionic.

SO(5,1) Symmetric Notation

One may assemble the coordinates and spin variables into a single anti-symmetric 6×6 matrix $Z_{IJ} = -Z_{JI}$, with $I, J = 0, ..., 5$, via

$$Z_{5A} \;=\; X_A, \qquad\qquad Z_{AB} \;=\; \ell\, S_{AB}. \tag{16}$$

Here ℓ denotes an infinitesimal expansion parameter with the dimension of length. To raise

and lower indices, we introduce the flat metric η_{IJ} with signature $(-,+,+,+,+,+)$. The embedding equation (1) and tranversality constraint (12) can be recognized as the $\ell \to 0$ limit of the following $SO(5,1)$ invariant relations

$$\frac{1}{2} Z_{IJ} Z^{IJ} \;=\; R^2, \qquad\qquad \varepsilon^{IJKLMN} Z_{KL} Z_{MN} \;=\; 0\,. \tag{17}$$

Taking $\ell \to 0$ amounts to performing a Inonu-Wigner contraction of $SO(5,1)$, which yields $ISO(4,1)$, the Poincaré group of the 4+1-D Minkowski space, the embedding space of dS_4. The 8D extended space-time parametrized by Z_{IJ} then reduces to the tangent space TdS_4.

The above $SO(5,1)$ symmetric notation will prove to be convenient for our purpose, but the symmetry is of course explicitly broken to the $SO(4,1)$ subgroup: interactions and correlation functions of a typical CFT do not respect the full $SO(5,1)$ or $ISO(4,1)$ symmetry. The following two basic statements remain true, however:

- Correlation functions of tensor operators in a 3+1-D CFT can be written as correlators

$$\Big\langle\, \mathcal{O}_n(Z_n) \,...\, \mathcal{O}_2(Z_2) \mathcal{O}_1(Z_1) \,\Big\rangle \tag{18}$$

  of scalar operators defined on the 8-dimensional extended space-time TdS_4, parametrized by the coordinates (16), subject to (17).

- Any pair of points Z_1 and Z_2 on the extended space-time are related via an $SO(5,1)$ rotation Λ_{12} (or after performing the IW contraction, an $ISO(4,1)$ transformation) via

$$Z_1^{IJ} \;=\; (\Lambda_{12})^I_{\ K}\, Z_2^{KL} (\Lambda_{21})^J_{\ L}\,. \tag{19}$$

  Here $(\Lambda_{12})^I_{\ K} (\Lambda_{21})^{KJ} = \eta^{IJ}$. So Z^{IJ} transforms in the adjoint representation.

The above two statements are all that we need to proceed with our construction.

Note that in order to obtain finite correlators from (18), we need to first extract a power of $(\ell)^j$ from each spin j tensor operator before taking the Inonu-Wigner limit $\ell \to 0$. Even if $SO(5,1)$ is not a full symmetry, the Z_{IJ} notation sometimes still provides a convenient packaging of higher spin correlators. E.g. the 2-point functions (15) of spin j tensor operators can be be summarized into a single quasi-$SO(5,1)$ invariant formula via

$$\Big\langle\, \mathcal{O}(Z_2)\, \mathcal{O}(Z_1) \,\Big\rangle \;=\; const. \left[\frac{1}{\big(R^2 - Z_1 \cdot Z_2\big)^{\Delta}} \right]_j \tag{20}$$

where the subscript j indicates the projection onto the term proportional to $(\ell)^{2j}$. This projection breaks $SO(5,1)$ to $SO(4,1)$. We will use the formula (20) later on.

For CFTs defined on AdS_4, the Z_{IJ} notation is naturally invariant under $SO(4,2)$ instead of $SO(5,1)$. Given that $SO(4,2)$ is identical to the conformal group in 3+1 dimensions, it is perhaps tempting to look for a relation between the above 6D notation and the more conventional 6D embedding formalism for 3+1-D CFTs [5–7]. However, it is important to not confuse the two. While both 6D notations and associated symmetry groups include the space-time isometries $SO(4,1)$ (for dS_4) or $SO(3,2)$ (for AdS_4) as a subgroup, the two extended rotation groups are really distinct. Conformal transformations, including the conformal boosts, are true symmetries of the CFT, but do not mix coordinates and spin variables. The 6D rotations (19) that act on the Z_{IJ} coordinates (16), on the other hand, are not all symmetries: besides space-time isometries, equation (19) includes transformations that mix the coordinates and spin variables. The latter symmetries are explicitly broken.

3. Covariant Non-Commutative Space-Time

We now introduce the covariant non-commutative deformation of the extended space time. The deformation involves two distinct steps. First we postulate that the coordinates and spin variables satisfy non-trivial commutation relations. Secondly, we replace the de Sitter space to the $SO(5,1)$ invariant embedding equations (17). To indicate the transition to quantized space-time, we introduce a dimensionless expansion parameter $\hbar$. We also identify a short distance length scale ℓ_p related to the de Sitter radius and the scale ℓ via

$$R \,=\, N\,\ell_p, \qquad\qquad \ell_p = \hbar\ell. \tag{21}$$

We will assume that $\hbar$ is small and that N is extremely large, so we will often work to leading order in $1/N$. We adopt the $SO(5,1)$ invariant notation (16).

The CNC deformed theory is obtained by promoting the generalized coordinates Z_{IJ} to quantum operators that satisfy commutation relations isomorphic to the $so(5,1)$ Lie algebra

$$[Z_{IJ}, Z_{KL}] \,=\, i\ell_p\big(\eta_{IK}Z_{JL} + \eta_{JL}Z_{IK} - \eta_{IL}Z_{JK} - \eta_{JK}Z_{IL}\big). \tag{22}$$

In terms of the coordinates X_A and spin variables S_{AB}, the commutator algebra reads [3]

$$\big[X_A, X_B\big] \,=\, i\hbar\ell^2\, S_{AB}, \qquad\qquad [S_{AB}, X_C] \,=\, i\hbar\big(\eta_{AC}X_B - \eta_{AB}X_C\big), \tag{23}$$

$$[S_{AB}, S_{CD}] \,=\, i\hbar\big(\eta_{AC}S_{BD} + \eta_{BD}S_{AC} - \eta_{AD}S_{BC} - \eta_{BC}S_{AD}\big)\,. \tag{24}$$

In addition to postulating the above operator algebra, we deform the embedding equation of the de Sitter space-time to its $SO(5,1)$ invariant version (17), with ℓ small but finite.

As with any quantum deformation, it is important to verify the correspondence principle, that is, that there exists a limit in which the quantum deformed theory reduces to the classical theory. In the above parametrization, we can consider two different classical limits. We can

(i) set the length scale $\ell = 0$, while keeping the non-commutativity parameter $\hbar$ finite, or

(ii) turn off the non-commutativity by setting $\hbar = 0$, while keeping the length scale ℓ finite.

It is clear that if we take both limits at the same time, we get back the undeformed CFT. But what happens if we take only one of the two limits? We claim that in both cases, we recover the undeformed CFT by performing a simple similarity transformation.

Taking limit (i) produces a scale invariant theory with commutative coordinates X_A, but with non-commutative spin variables S_{AB}. The correlation functions are directly obtained from the commutative correlators by performing the replacement (5), with M_{AB} the vector field that implements the $SO(4,1)$ rotations (3)-(4). This redefinition does not amount to a true deformation of the CFT, but rather to a natural extension of the embedding formalism, in which the descendant operators (obtained by acting with the M_{AB} generators on primary operators) are mixed in with tensor primary operators. In other words, the geometric meaning of S_{AB} has been deformed, rather than the CFT.

Taking limit (ii) produces a commutative theory, defined on the deformed de Sitter space-time (17). For given value of S_{AB}, equation (17) amounts to a rescaling of the de Sitter radius

$$R \,\to\, \lambda_S R \qquad \text{with} \qquad \lambda_S = \left(1 - \frac{S_{AB}S^{AB}}{2\hbar^2 N^2}\right)^{1/2}. \tag{25}$$

Thanks to the conformal invariance of the original CFT, this geometric deformation can be absorbed into a conformal transformation of local operators (*c.f.* equations (14) and (15))

$$\mathcal{O}(X,S) \,\to\, \lambda_S^{\Delta+j}\,\mathcal{O}\big(\lambda_S X, S\big). \tag{26}$$

CFT correlation functions are invariant under the combined transformation (25) and (26). We conclude that taking limit (ii) again produces the commutative CFT.

The actual size of the CNC deformation is set by $\ell_p = R/N$. The other constants $\hbar$ and the scale ℓ are just useful formal parameters. Indeed, from the commutator (23) and Heisenberg, we learn that the coordinates X_A become fuzzy at the scale

$$\left\langle \left(\Delta X_A\right)^2 \right\rangle \,\gtrsim\, \ell_p^2\,\sqrt{C_2}, \qquad \text{with} \qquad C_2 = \frac{1}{2\hbar^2}\,S_{AB}S^{AB}. \tag{27}$$

We can identify C_2 with the second Casimir of the $SO(4,1)$ space-time isometry group.

In the next sections, we will translate the CNC algebra of the generalized coordinated (X,S) into an explicit and well-defined deformation of the CFT correlation functions.

Flat Space Limit

It is instructive to write the CNC deformation in a local Minkowski space-time region, say, where $X_4 \simeq R$ and $X_\mu \ll R$ for $\mu = 0, .., 3$. We thus isolate the X_4 coordinate and use $SO(3,1)$ notation. To write the commutation relations we identify S_{AB} with the $SO(4,1)$ symmetry generators M_{AB}, into 6 Lorentz generators/angular momenta $J_{\mu\nu} = M_{\mu\nu}$ and 4 translations/momenta $P_\mu = \frac{1}{R} M_{4\mu}$. The special relations (17) imply that angular momentum is purely orbital angular momentum $J_{\mu\nu} = P_{[\mu} X_{\nu]}$. We also introduce the notation $\mathfrak{h} = \frac{\hbar}{R} X_4$. Indeed, $\mathfrak{h}$ will play the role of Planck's constant (not to be confused with our $\hbar$ parameter).

The positions and momenta satisfy the following covariant commutation relations

$$[X_\mu, P_\nu] = i\mathfrak{h}\,\eta_{\mu\nu}, \qquad [P_\mu, P_\nu] = \frac{i\hbar}{R^2} J_{\mu\nu}, \qquad [X_\mu, X_\nu] = i\hbar\ell^2 J_{\mu\nu}. \tag{28}$$

The first and second relation look like the standard commutation relations for coordinates and momenta in a local patch of de Sitter space. The third relation is the covariant non-commutative deformation. In the above notation, it appears that translation invariance is broken, because the Lorentz generators $J_{\mu\nu}$ act relative to a preferred origin in space-time. Translation symmetry is preserved, however, because (i) it acts via $X_\mu \to X_\mu + \mathfrak{h}\, a_\mu$, and (ii) the effective Planck constant $\mathfrak{h}$ is an operator with non-trivial commutation relations [3]

$$[\mathfrak{h}, X_\mu] = i\hbar\ell^2 P_\mu, \qquad [\mathfrak{h}, P_\mu] = -\frac{i\hbar}{R^2} X_\mu, \qquad [\mathfrak{h}, J_{\mu\nu}] = 0. \tag{29}$$

The magnitude of $\mathfrak{h}$ is determined by the Casimir relation (17)

$$\mathfrak{h}^2 \;=\; \hbar^2\Big(1 - \frac{1}{R^2} X_\mu X^\mu - \ell^2 P_\mu P^\mu\Big) - \frac{1}{2N^2} J_{\mu\nu} J^{\mu\nu}. \tag{30}$$

Since, without loss of generality, we can assume that $X^\mu \ll R$, we see that $\mathfrak{h} \simeq \hbar$ as long as the mass squared is small compared to $1/\ell^2$. We further note that the above algebraic relations enjoy an intriguing T-duality symmetry under the interchange of coordinates and momenta $\frac{1}{R} X_\mu \leftrightarrow \ell P_\mu$ combined with the reflection $\mathfrak{h} \leftrightarrow -\mathfrak{h}$.

4. Star Product

We will now construct the star product between functions on the non-commutative extended space-time. In its most basic form, the star product deforms the product of two functions $G(Z)$ and $F(Z)$ evaluated at the same point Z on the extended space-time. Since in our case the commutator algebra between the coordinates Z takes the form of a Lie algebra, this star product is well understood and directly related to the CBH formula [8].

The abstract definition is as follows. We can make a unique mapping between commutative functions $F(Z)$ and symmetrized functions $F(\hat{Z})$ of the non-commutative coordinates $\hat{Z}_{IJ}$. (Here we temporarily decorate the operator valued coordinates with a hat.) The product $G(\hat{Z})F(\hat{Z})$ of two symmetrized non-commutative functions is not a symmetrized function in the non-commutative coordinates $\hat{Z}$. But it can always be reorderered such that it becomes symmetrized. The extra terms produced by the re-ordering process are encoded in the star product $G(Z) \star F(Z)$. This prescription thus identifies $(G \star F)(\hat{Z}) = (G(\hat{Z})F(\hat{Z}))_{\rm sym}$. This rule associates a unique star product to any Lie algebra.

The resulting star product takes the following general form [8]

$$G(Z) \star F(Z) \;=\; \exp\Big\{\hbar Z^{IJ} D_{IJ}\big(\overleftarrow{\partial}_Z, \overrightarrow{\partial}_Z\big)\Big\}\, G(Z)\, F(Z) \tag{31}$$

where $\overleftarrow{\partial}_Z$ acts on $G(Z)$ and $\overrightarrow{\partial}_Z$ on $F(Z)$. An explicit, albeit formal, definition of the symbol $D_{IJ}(a,b)$ in terms of the CBH formula is given in [8] and in the Appendix. The CBH star product (31) has an obvious generalization to an n-fold star product $F_n(Z)\star\ldots\star F_2(Z)\star F_1(Z)$ between n functions of the same variable Z. This n-fold star product is associative.

For our application, however, we will need the star product $G(Z_2) \star F(Z_1)$ between functions evaluated at two *different* locations on the non-commutative extended space-time. This may seem like a completely new concept, since a natural first guess would be to treat the two locations Z_1 and Z_2 as two independent mutually commuting operators. However, this would lead to a trivial star product. In our case, we are helped by the fact that any two points Z_1 and Z_2 on the commutative space can be related to each other via an $SO(5,1)$ rotation. This means that we can view the non-commutative points Z_1 and Z_2 as two *quantum* coordinates related via a *classical* $SO(5,1)$ rotation Λ_{12}, as in equation (19).

Let us pick some fixed but otherwise arbitrary base point Z. We can then factorize the $SO(5,1)$ rotation Λ_{12} that relates Z_1 to Z_2 into a product

$$\Lambda_{12} = \Lambda_1 \Lambda_2^{-1}, \qquad\qquad Z_1 = \Lambda_1 \cdot Z\,, \qquad\qquad Z_2 = \Lambda_2 \cdot Z\,, \tag{32}$$

where Λ_1 and Λ_2 are the $SO(5,1)$ rotations that relate each corresponding point to the base point Z. Here $\Lambda_1 \cdot Z$ is short-hand for the action of Λ_1 on Z, as defined in equation (19).

We can now promote the base point Z to a non-commutative coordinate, while leaving the $SO(5,1)$ rotations Λ_1 and Λ_2 as classical quantities, and write the star product

$$G(Z_2) \star F(Z_1) \;=\; G\big(\Lambda_2 \cdot Z\big) \star F\big(\Lambda_1 \cdot Z\big). \tag{33}$$

Here the $\star$-symbol is defined as in equation (31), with $G \circ \Lambda_2$ and $F \circ \Lambda_1$ treated as functions of the base point Z. Note that this definition treats the points Z_1 and Z_2 symmetrically.

The star product (33) seems to depend on the choice of base point Z. It is easy to see, however, that this Z dependence is spurious. A direct way to make this explicit is by computing the commutator $\big[Z_1, Z_2\big] = Z_1 \star Z_2 - Z_2 \star Z_1$ between the two different non-commutative locations. Using the definition (33), we find that

$$\big[Z_1^{IJ}, Z_2^{KL}\big] \;=\; \imath\ell_p\, Z_{12}^{IJKL} \tag{34}$$

with
$$Z_{12}^{IJKL} \;=\; \Lambda_{12}^{IK}\, Z_{12}^{JL} + \Lambda_{12}^{JL}\, Z_{12}^{IK} - \Lambda_{12}^{IL}\, Z_{12}^{JK} - \Lambda_{12}^{JK}\, Z_{12}^{IL}, \tag{35}$$

$$Z_{12}^{IJ} \;=\; (\Lambda_1)^I_{\ K} Z^{KL} (\Lambda_2)^J_{\ L}, \tag{36}$$

where $\Lambda_{12} = \Lambda_1 \Lambda_2^{-1}$ is the $SO(5,1)$ rotation that relates the two positions. The algebra (34) reduces to the standard $so(5,1)$ Lie algebra (34) in the limit $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2$, i.e. if Z_1 approaches Z_2. Note further that the dependence on the base point Z has dropped out: both Λ_{12}^{IJ} and Z_{12}^{IJ} are invariant under $SO(5,1)$ rotations acting on the base point Z. Hence the algebra (34) is fully covariant.

The definition (33) directly generalizes to an associative n-fold star product between n-functions evaluated at n different points Z_k, each given by a classical $SO(5,1)$ rotation Λ_k applied to the base point Z. Since we are assuming that the deformation parameters $\ell_p = \hbar\ell$ is very small, we will mostly focus on the first order non-commutative corrections linear in ℓ_p. To write this linearized expression in a somewhat more explicit form, we use the obvious generalization of the formula (34) to any pair of points Z_i and Z_j. From this we immediately derive that

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(Z_n) \star \cdots \star F_1(Z_1) \;&=\; F_n(\Lambda_n{\cdot}Z) \star \cdots \star F_1(\Lambda_1{\cdot}Z) \\ &=\; \left(1 \;+\; \frac{\imath\ell_p}{2} \sum_{i<j} Z_{ij}^{IJKL}\, \frac{\partial}{\partial Z_i^{IJ}}\, \frac{\partial}{\partial Z_j^{KL}} + \dots\right) F_n(Z_n) \cdots F_1(Z_1) \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

The non-commutativity of the product is reflected by the fact that the right-hand side depends on the ordering of the n-tuple of coordinates $Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_n$.

5. Non-Commutative CFT Correlators

We now define the CFT n-point functions on the covariant non-commutative space-time as the non-commutative deformation of the CFT correlators on commutative space-time. Concretely, we can first decompose the commutative correlation function (18) as a sum of products of ordinary functions of the positions Z_k, by inserting a complete set of CFT states

$\sum_\psi |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ in each intermediate channel. The result is a sum of factorized terms of the form

$$\big\langle 0\big|\, \mathcal{O}_n(Z_n)\big|\psi_n\big\rangle \,\ldots\, \big\langle \psi_2\big|\mathcal{O}_2(Z_2)\big|\psi_1\big\rangle\big\langle \psi_1\big|\mathcal{O}_1(Z_1)\big|0\big\rangle \tag{38}$$

Using the definition of the star product in the previous section, we can now directly write the definition of the CFT n-point functions on the covariant non-commutative space-time by replacing each factorized product (38) by the corresponding n-fold star product (37):

$$\big\langle 0\big|\, \mathcal{O}_n(Z_n)\big|\psi_n\big\rangle \star \,\ldots\, \star \big\langle \psi_2\big|\mathcal{O}_2(Z_2)\big|\psi_1\big\rangle \star \big\langle \psi_1\big|\mathcal{O}_1(Z_1)\big|0\big\rangle \tag{39}$$

Adopting this natural prescription, we obtain the following formula for the correlation functions of the CFT on covariant non-commutative space-time, expanded to linear order in the deformation parameter $\ell_p = \hbar\ell$

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\langle\, \mathcal{O}_n(Z_n)\,\ldots\,\mathcal{O}_2(Z_2)\,\mathcal{O}_1(Z_1)\,\Big\rangle_{\rm CNC} &= \Big\langle\, \mathcal{O}_n(Z_n)\star\,\ldots\,\star\mathcal{O}_2(Z_2)\star\mathcal{O}_1(Z_1)\,\Big\rangle_{\rm CFT}\\ &= \left(1 + \frac{\imath\hbar\ell}{2}\sum_{i<j} Z_{ij}^{IJKL}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_i^{IJ}}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial Z_j^{KL}} + \ldots\right)\Big\langle\, \mathcal{O}_n(Z_n)\,\ldots\,\mathcal{O}_2(Z_2)\mathcal{O}_1(Z_1)\,\Big\rangle_{\rm CFT}.\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

The higher order terms can in principle be computed by expanding out each CBH star product, using equations (31)-(33) and the definition of $D_{IJ}(a,b)$ given in the Appendix.

The CNC deformation (40) breaks conformal invariance but is otherwise invariant under all space-time isometries. The right-hand side depends on the ordering of the n-tuple of coordinates $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_n$, or equivalently, on the ordering of CFT operators $\mathcal{O}_i(Z_i)$. It is natural to identify the non-commutative operator ordering with the time-ordering of the undeformed CFT. Note, however, that the deformed correlation functions are no longer fully crossing symmetric. Only the duality relations that respect the ordering of the n-tuple $Z_1, Z_2, \ldots, Z_n$ are preserved. In this respect, the CNC theory is similar to open string theory.

In abstract terms, the commutative associative operator product algebra of the original CFT is deformed into a non-commutative associative operator product algebra of the CNC theory. This structure is expected from correlation functions on a non-commutative space-time. We will not try to further formalize this operator algebraic perspective in this paper.

Some Simple Examples

To get a bit more physical insight into the nature of the CNC deformation, let us look at two simple examples: the 2-point functions of spin j tensor operators (20) and the n point function of n scalar operators. In both cases we focus on the first order CNC correction. From now on we will use units such that de Sitter radius $R = 1$, so that $\ell_p = \hbar\ell = 1/N$.

The first order CNC deformation of the 2-point functions of arbitrary spinning primaries is most easily found by inserting the (quasi) $SO(5,1)$ invariant expression (20) into the general formula (40), and expanding the result

$$\Big\langle \mathcal{O}(Z_2)\,\mathcal{O}(Z_1)\Big\rangle_{\rm CNC} \;=\; \frac{1}{\big(1-Z_2{\cdot}Z_1\big)^{\Delta}} + \imath\hbar\ell\,\frac{\Delta(\Delta+1)}{2}\,\frac{Z_2{\cdot}Z_{12}{\cdot}Z_1}{\big(1-Z_1{\cdot}Z_2\big)^{\Delta+2}} + \ldots \tag{41}$$

in powers of ℓ^2. Here most of the ℓ dependence is hidden in the definition (16) of Z_{AB} in terms of spin variable S_{AB}. As noted in equation (20), the term proportional to ℓ^{2j} in the first term of equation (41) gives the undeformend 2-point function of the spin j primaries. The corresponding linearized CNC deformation is found by extracting the term proportional to $\hbar\ell^{2j+2}$ from the correction term on the right-hand side of (41).

Specializing to the case $j=0$, we are instructed to look for the leading order term in the ℓ expansion. A straightforward calculation gives

$$Z_2{\cdot}Z_{12}{\cdot}Z_1 \;=\; \ell X_2{\cdot}S_{12}{\cdot}X_1 \;+\; \ldots \tag{42}$$

with
$$S_{12}^{AB} \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\big(S_1^{AC}(\Lambda_{12})_C^{\ B} + (\Lambda_{12})^A_{\ C}\,S_2^{CB}\big), \tag{43}$$

where Λ_{12} denotes the $SO(4,1)$ rotation that relates the space-time positions X_1 and X_2. This first order correction turns out to vanish, however, due to the anti-symmetry of the S_{AB} tensor. The leading correction to the scalar 2-point function thus appears at second order in the $\hbar$ expansion. We will compute this correction in the next subsection, where we will see that, due to the fact that the commutator (23) between S_{AB} and X_A is not suppressed by ℓ, this second order CNC correction already appears at order $\hbar^2\ell^2$.

The higher n point functions of scalar operators do receive a non-zero first order correction. We find that it takes the following form

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\langle\, \mathcal{O}_n(Z_n)\ldots\mathcal{O}_2(Z_2)\,\mathcal{O}_1(Z_1)\,\Big\rangle_{\rm CNC} \;=\;& \\ \Big(1 \,+\, \frac{\imath\hbar\ell^2}{2}\sum_{i<j} S_{ij}^{AB}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial X_i^A}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial X_j^B} \,+\,\ldots\Big)&\Big\langle\, \mathcal{O}_n(X_n)\ldots\mathcal{O}_2(X_2)\,\mathcal{O}_1(X_1)\,\Big\rangle_{\rm CFT},\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

with S_{ij}^{AB} defined as in equation (43). The undeformed n-point function is independent of the S_{AB} tensors, which reflects the spin zero property of the scalar primary operators. The appearance of the polarization tensors in the first order correction thus indicates that the CNC deformation produces new states or components with non zero spin. We will comment on the physical interpretation of this result in the concluding section.

Second Order CNC Correction

In the previous section, we saw that the linearized non-commutative correction to the 2-point function of scalar operators vanishes. Here we will consider the second order correction. It arises from the next order term in the CBH star product (31), applied to a function with two arguments X_1 and X_2. The relevant terms are given by the double commutators in the CBH expansion (63). Since the scalar 2-point function are independent of the polarization tensors S_{AB}, we only need to consider double commutators between the actual space-time coordinates X_A.

Covariant non-commutative space-time is characterized by the basic double commutator

$$\big[[X_A, X_B], X_C\big] \;=\; -\hbar^2\ell^2\big(\eta_{AC}X_B - \eta_{BC}X_A\big), \tag{45}$$

which expresses the fact that the single commutator between X_A and X_B is proportional to the space-time isometry generator M_{AB}.[1]

We will now use this formula for the double commutator to compute the leading CNC correction to the scalar CFT 2-point function

$$\Big\langle \mathcal{O}(X_2)\,\mathcal{O}(X_1)\Big\rangle_{\rm CFT} \;=\; \frac{1}{\big(1 - X_1{\cdot}X_2\big)^{\Delta}} \tag{46}$$

To simplify our computation somewhat, we will assume that the two points X_1 and X_2 are close to each other, so that we can drop all terms that are suppressed by a relative factor of $(1 - X_1{\cdot}X_2) \ll 1$. In most places, we can thus set the $SO(4,1)$ rotation Λ_{12} that relates X_1 and X_2 equal to unity.

In this regime, we find that the second order contribution to the CBH star product $\mathcal{O}(X_1) \star \mathcal{O}(X_2)$ is given by (*c.f.* equations (45) and (63))

$$\frac{\hbar^2\ell^2}{12}\Big[(X_1{\cdot}\,\partial_2)\Box_1 + (X_2{\cdot}\,\partial_1)\Box_2 - \big(X_1{\cdot}\,\partial_1 + X_2{\cdot}\,\partial_2\big)(\partial_1{\cdot}\,\partial_2)\Big]\;\mathcal{O}(X_2)\,\mathcal{O}(X_1) \tag{47}$$

with $\Box = \eta^{AB}\partial_A\partial_B$. A straightforward computation then gives the following result for the scalar 2-point function in the CNC theory

$$\Big\langle \mathcal{O}(X_2)\,\mathcal{O}(X_1)\Big\rangle_{\rm CNC} = \frac{1}{\big(1 - X_1{\cdot}X_2\big)^{\Delta}} \;-\; \frac{\hbar^2\ell^2\Delta(\Delta+1)}{2\big(1 - X_1{\cdot}X_2\big)^{\Delta+2}} \;+\; \ldots \tag{48}$$

We will comment on the possible physical significance of this result in the concluding section.

[1]This double commutator relation hints that the CNC deformation may perhaps be related to the presence of a 3-form potential.

6. Spinor Realization

In this section we will describe a natural spinor realization of covariant non-commutative space time. Recall that the CNC space-time, defined by equations (16), (17), represents and 8-dimensional manifold with a symplectic form specified by equation (22).

Introduce two independent complex four component spinors z^i_α with $i = 1, 2$, $\alpha = 1, ., 4$ and 4×4 chiral gamma matrices Γ_I of $SO(5, 1)$, satisfying the 5+1-dimensional Clifford algebra $\{\Gamma_I, \Gamma_J\} = 2\eta_{IJ}$. We define the Dirac conjugate spinors $\overline{z}^\alpha_i$ via $\overline{z}_i = z^\dagger_i \Gamma_0$. We postulate the canonical commutator algebra[2]

$$\left[\overline{z}^i_\alpha, z^\beta_j\right] \;=\; \imath\hbar\delta_\alpha{}^\beta\delta^i{}_j. \tag{49}$$

The spinor doublet has eight *complex* dimensions, thus twice the required number.

The reduction to eight real dimensions will be achieved by dividing out the $U(2)$ symmetry group, which acts on the i index of the z^i_α spinors, by means of a symplectic quotient. We set the four generators equal to a prescribed value via the constraints

$$\mathcal{G}_i{}^j \;=\; z^\alpha_i \overline{z}^j_\alpha - 2N\,\delta_i{}^j \;=\; 0. \tag{50}$$

Here we included a constant $2N$ in the definition of the diagonal generator. We require that all physical quantities commute with these four constraints. Since taking the symplectic quotient reduces the dimensionality by twice the dimension of the symmetry group, we end up with an 8-dimensional phase space, which as we will now argue, coincides with the covariant non-commutative space-time with $R/\ell_p = N$.

Introduce the 4×4 matrix coordinates $Z_\alpha{}^\beta$ as the $U(2)$ invariant spinor bilinears

$$Z_\alpha{}^\beta = \overline{z}^i_\alpha z^\beta_i \,- N\delta_\alpha{}^\beta \tag{51}$$

Here we subtracted the diagonal, so that the $Z_\alpha{}^\beta$ are traceless. One easily verifies that the $Z_\alpha{}^\beta$ coordinates commute with the $U(2)$ constraints $\left[\mathcal{G}_i{}^j, Z_\alpha{}^\beta\right] = 0$, and moreover, that on the constraint subspace $\mathcal{G}_i{}^j = 0$, satisfy the property

$$Z_\alpha{}^\gamma Z_\gamma{}^\beta \;=\; N^2\delta_\alpha{}^\beta\,. \tag{52}$$

Finally, the commutator algebra of the $Z_\alpha{}^\beta$ coordinates takes the form

$$\left[Z_\alpha{}^\beta, Z_\gamma{}^\delta\right] \;=\; \imath\hbar\big(\delta_\alpha{}^\delta Z_\gamma{}^\beta - \delta_\gamma{}^\beta Z_\alpha{}^\delta\big). \tag{53}$$

[2]We apologize for using the same notation i for the $U(2)$ index as for labeling the different locations in n point functions. Hopefully this double use of notation will not lead to confusion.

This can be recognized as the Lie algebra of $SU^*(4) \simeq SO(5,1)$.

The coordinates Z_{IJ} on the non-commutative extended space time are now simply obtained by taking the trace with the Γ matrix bilinears

$$Z_{IJ} \;=\; \ell\,\mathrm{tr}\big(Z\,\Gamma_{IJ}\big) \;=\; \ell\,\overline{z}^i_\alpha\,(\Gamma_{IJ})^\alpha{}_\beta\,z^\beta_i, \tag{54}$$

where $\Gamma_{IJ} = \frac{1}{2}[\Gamma_I, \Gamma_J]$ and ℓ is the short-distance length scale. One directly verifies that the relation (52) is equivalent to the special properties (17).

The above spinor representation of the space-time coordinates looks quite similar to the twistor parametrization of the 6D embedding space, used in recent studies of CFT correlators [9]. However, as emphasized earlier, the extended $SO(5,1)$ symmetry has no obvious relation with the conformal group $SO(4,2)$, except that both share the de Sitter isometry group $SO(3,1)$ as a common subgroup. The z^α_i coordinates should therefore not be confused with twistor coordinates (*c.f.* [10] and [11]).

Since the CFT primary operators are defined on the extended space-time, they lift to $U(2)$ invariant functions of z. Hence physical operators $\mathcal{O}(z)$ commute with the constraints:

$$\big[\mathcal{G}_i{}^j, \mathcal{O}(z)\big] = 0. \tag{55}$$

The benefit of the spinor parametrization is that the symplectic form, that defines the CNC deformation, now has an even simpler form (49). In particular, we can write a more explicit expression for the star product between operators $\mathcal{O}(z)$ and $\mathcal{O}(w)$ evaluated at two different points. As before, we introduce the $SU^*(4)$ rotation that relates the two locations

$$w^\alpha_i \;=\; \Lambda^\alpha_\beta\,z^\beta_i, \qquad\qquad \overline{z}^i_\alpha \;=\; \Lambda_\alpha^\beta\,\overline{w}^i_\beta\,. \tag{56}$$

Since the constraints (50) are $SU^*(4)$ invariant, this rotation acts within the constraint manifold. From (49)-(56), we read off that $[\overline{z}^i_\alpha, w^\beta_j] = \imath\hbar\Lambda_\alpha^{\ \beta}\,\delta^i_j$ and $[w^\alpha_i, \overline{z}^j_\beta] = -\imath\hbar\Lambda^\beta_\alpha\,\delta_i^{\ j}$. The star product between CFT operators defined at different points is thus given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{O}(z)\star\mathcal{O}(w) \;&=\; \mathcal{O}(z)\star\mathcal{O}(\Lambda\cdot z)\\ &=\; \exp\left\{\imath\hbar\Lambda_\alpha^{\ \beta}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial z^\alpha_i}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial\,\overline{w}^i_\beta} \;-\; \imath\hbar\Lambda^\alpha_\beta\,\frac{\partial}{\partial\overline{z}^i_\alpha}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial w^\beta_i}\right\}\mathcal{O}(z)\,\mathcal{O}(w),\end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

where we are instructed to treat Λ as independent of z and w. The formula (57) easily generalizes to n point functions. The fact that we can write the star product in more explicit form, suggests that the spinor formulation could be a powerful tool in deriving exact all order expressions for the CNC deformed 2 and 3-point functions.

7. Conclusion

In this paper we have introduced a covariant non-commutative deformation of 3+1-D space-time with constant curvature. We have defined a general CFT on the non-commutative space-time, by using of the representation of arbitrary spin CFT operators as polynomials in an auxiliary polarization tensor. In fact, most of our construction (except for the spinor description) immediately generalizes to conformal field theories in arbitrary number of space-time dimensions. In this concluding section, we make some further comments on the posible physical significance of the CNC deformation. We list the comments as questions.

Minimal length?

The non-commutative space-time algebra for dS_4 assembles into $so(5,1)$, whereas for AdS_4 it becomes an $so(4,2)$ algebra. The difference between the two is related to the fact that the constant time slice of dS_4 is a compact 3 sphere S^3, whereas for AdS_4 it is a non-compact hyperbolic space. In particular, the algebra of the four spatial embedding coordinates X_a (in which the S^3 is embedded via $\eta^{ab}X_aX_b = 1$), together with the $SO(4)$ isometries of S^3, generate the Lie algebra of the compact $SO(5)$ subgroup of $SO(5,1)$. The spatial embedding coordinates of AdS_4, on the other hand, generate the Lie algebra of the non-compact $SO(4,1)$ subgroup of $SO(4,2)$.

The CNC deformation introduces a short distance scale $\ell_p = R/N$, at which space-time coordinates become fuzzy. Indeed, the main motivation for introducing the deformation is to provide a natural geometric UV cut-off for the CFT. For dS_4, the number of fuzzy points on the spatial slice is given by the dimension of the $SO(5)$ represention with maximal allowed spin N. This suggests that the function space on a given spatial section is truncated at a maximal allowed value of the angular momentum equal to N, *c.f.* [12]. In this respect, the CNC deformation indeed seems to act as a UV regulator. The non-trivial new ingredient of our construction is that this short-distance cut-off preserves Lorentz invariance.

Gravitational interactions?

Our secret motivation for this work is that, by introducing a geometric covariant cut-off, we are in fact automatically coupling the CFT to gravity, with a finite Planck length proportional to the short distance cut-off ℓ_p [11]. There are three separate pieces of evidence that support this possibility:

Hint 1: We know from AdS/CFT that a strongly coupled 3+1-D continuum CFT is dual to gravity on a non-compact asymptotically AdS_5 geometry. By introducing a UV cut-off, we are effectively compactifying the AdS_5, by removing the non-compact asymptotic region. The cut-off acts like an effective Planck brane, with an associated normalizable 5D graviton zero mode. Assuming that the boundary conditions are such that the zero mode is allowed to fluctuate, it will act as a 4D graviton coupled to the cut-off CFT.

Hint 2: Consider a CFT with a covariant UV cutoff. The geometric entanglement entropy associated with a subregion of space is then proportional to a finite constant times the surrounding surface area. Jacobson has convincingly argued that this fact, in combination with Lorentz invariance and the assumption that entanglement entropy satisfies the first law of thermodynamics, is sufficient to conclude that the background geometry must be dynamical and to derive that its dynamics is described by the Einstein equations. Newton's constant is then normalized such that the entanglement entropy matches with the Bekenstein-Hawking formula. This second hint can be directly linked to the first hint via the Ryu-Takayanagi formula for the holographic entanglement entropy [14, 15].

Hint 3: In this paper, we have computed the leading order correction term to the 2-point function of two scalar CFT operators. The result, given in equation (48), is covariant and proportional to ℓ^2. It is natural to compare this leading order CNC correction with the leading order graviational correction due to a single graviton exchange

$$\kappa^2 \int d^4x\, d^4y \left\langle \mathcal{O}(X_2)\, \frac{T_{\mu\nu}(x)\, T^{\mu\nu}(y)}{(x-y)^2}\, \mathcal{O}(X_1) \right\rangle \tag{58}$$

While we have not yet been able to explicitly compute this gravitational correction, general physical reasoning (dimensional analysis, Lorentz symmetry, quadratic dependence on conformal dimension Δ) suggests that the answer should look identical to (48) with $\kappa = \ell_p$.

Regge trajectory?

In section 5 we computed the first order CNC correction to the n-point function of scalar primary operators, with the result (44). The appearance of the polarization tensors in the first order correction indicates that the CNC deformation produces new states with non zero spin. How should we interpret these states? Where do they come from? We do not yet have a sufficient understanding of the CNC deformed theory to give a precise answer to these questions, so we will only make some general comments.

The form of the full tower of CNC correction terms suggests that we need to associate to each scalar primary operator $\mathcal{O}(X)$ of the undeformed CFT, an infinite tower of (non-local) 'excited primary operators' with ever increasing spin j and scale dimension $\Delta_j = \Delta + j$, analogous to Vasiliev theory [16] or a Regge trajectory of excited string states. The whole tower can be packaged in a single operator $\mathcal{O}(Z)$ defined on the extended space-time, via[3]

$$\mathcal{O}(X) \;\; \to \;\; \mathcal{O}(Z) \,=\, \mathcal{O}(X) \,+\, P^A \mathcal{O}^{(1)}_A(X) \,+\, P^A P^B \mathcal{O}^{(2)}_{AB}(X) \,+\, \ldots, \tag{59}$$

Like excited string states, the excited operators are decoupled in the commutative limit, but can contribute as soon as the CNC deformation is turned on. However, unlike excited string

[3]Here P^A is the polarization vector related to the S_{AB} tensor via $S^{AB} = P^{[A} X^{B]}$.



Figure 1: An intuitive explanation for the appearance of higher spin states. A continuum CFT correlator corresponds to an on-shell string amplitude between point like string states that reach the asymptotic AdS boundary. In the deformed theory, the asymptotic region is removed. The strings maintain a minimal size and remain polarized. This leads to higher spin excitations.

states, they not only contribute in factorization channels, but also appear to modify the asymptotic states themselves. From equation (44) and (59), we find that the contribution of the first exited state of, say, the k-th operator $\mathcal{O}_k(X_k)$ takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big\langle \mathcal{O}_n(X_n)\dots\mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}(X_k)\dots\ \mathcal{O}(X_1)\Big\rangle\ = \\ &\frac{\hbar\ell^2}{2}\sum_i \mathrm{sgn}(i-k)\Big(\big(X_i\cdot\partial_i\big)\ \big(\partial_k\big)_A-\ \big(X_k\cdot\partial_k\big)\big(\Lambda_{ki}\cdot\partial_i\big)_A\Big)\Big\langle\ \mathcal{O}_n(X_n)\dots\mathcal{O}(X_k)\dots\mathcal{O}(X_1)\Big\rangle\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

with Λ_{ki} the $SO(4,1)$ isometry that rotates X_i to X_k. We explicitly see that the CNC deformation breaks crossing symmetry. In other words, the excited states can not be viewed as descendant CFT operators, but as new operators without any local precursors in the CFT. Note that the first excited operator $\mathcal{O}_A^{(1)}(X_k)$ indeed has scale dimension Δ_k+1.

A rough intuitive explanation for the appearance of the higer spin degrees of freedom is shown in figure 1. It indicates how the CNC deformation modifies the continuum CFT correlator, from an AdS dual perspective. The deformed theory has a minimal length, which effectively removes the asymptotic region of AdS. The string scattering states thus no longer have the possibility to go fully on-shell: they have a minimal size proportional to ℓ_p and thus retain part of their shape information in the form of additional spin degrees of freedom. There is indeed some similarity between our CNC deformation and the use of star products in the construction of Vasiliev's higher spin theories [16]. The partial breaking of crossing symmetry suggests that the bulk strings are polarized into open strings.

Acknowledgements

We thank C. Córdova, S. Giombi, T. Hartman, D.M Hofman, T. Jacobson, J. Maldacena, D. Skinner, C. Vafa, E. Verlinde and E. Witten for helpful discussions. The work of JJH is supported by NSF grant PHY-1067976. The work of HV is supported by NSF grant PHY-1314198.

Appendix A: CBH Star Product

In this Appendix we define the symbol $D(Z, a, b)$ that appears in the $SO(5,1)$ invariant star product (31). Define two elements A and B of the $so(5,1)$ Lie algebra

$$A = Z_{IJ}\, a^{IJ}, \qquad B = Z_{IJ}\, b^{IJ} \tag{61}$$

where Z_{IJ} denote the non-commutative coordinates, satsifying the commutation relations (22). Now consider the CBH formula

$$\exp A \cdot \exp B \;=\; \exp\big(A + B + D(A,B)\big) \tag{62}$$

$$D(A,B) \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\big[A,B\big] + \frac{1}{12}\Big(\big[A,[A,B]\big] + \big[[A,B],B\big]\Big) + \ldots \tag{63}$$

Upon inserting the component expansion (61) of A and B, and using the commutator algebra (22), we can expand $D(A,B)$ as a linear function of Z_{IJ}

$$D(A,B) = Z^{IJ} D_{IJ}(a,b) \tag{64}$$

This uniquely defines the symbol $D_{IJ}(a,b)$ used in the star product (31).

References

[1] A. Connes, "Noncommutative Geometry," Academic Press, San Diego US, 1994; M. R. Douglas and N. A. Nekrasov, "Noncommutative field theory," Rev. Mod. Phys. **73**, 977 (2001); R. J. Szabo, "Quantum field theory on noncommutative spaces," Phys. Rept. **378**, 207 (2003) [hep-th/0109162], and references therein.

[2] H. S. Snyder, "Quantized space-time," Phys. Rev. **71**, 38 (1947).

[3] C. N. Yang, "On quantized space-time," Phys. Rev. **72**, 874 (1947).

[4] J. Lukierski, H. Ruegg, A. Nowicki and V. N. Tolstoi, "Q deformation of Poincare algebra," Phys. Lett. B **264**, 331 (1991); J. Lukierski, H. Ruegg and W. J. Zakrzewski, Annals Phys. **243**, 90 (1995) [hep-th/9312153];

[5] M. S. Costa, J. Penedones, D. Poland and S. Rychkov, "Spinning Conformal Correlators," JHEP **1111**, 071 (2011) [arXiv:1107.3554 [hep-th]]; "Spinning Conformal Blocks," JHEP **1111**, 154 (2011) [arXiv:1109.6321 [hep-th]].

[6] P. A. M. Dirac, "Wave equations in conformal space," Annals Math. **37**, 429 (1936).

[7] S. Ferrara, A. F. Grillo and R. Gatto, "Tensor representations of conformal algebra and conformally covariant operator product expansion," Annals Phys. **76**, 161 (1973).

[8] V. Kathotia, "Kontsevich's Universal Formula for Deformation Quantization and the Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff Formula," [arXiv:math/9811174]

[9] D. Simmons-Duffin, "Projectors, Shadows, and Conformal Blocks," arXiv:1204.3894 [hep-th]; S. M. Kuzenko, JHEP **1210**, 135 (2012) [arXiv:1206.3940 [hep-th]].

[10] R. Penrose, "Twistor quantization and curved space-time," Int. J. Theor. Phys. **1**, 61 (1968).

[11] J. J. Heckman and H. Verlinde, "Super Yang-Mills Theory as a Twistor Matrix Model," arXiv:1104.2605 [hep-th]; "Instantons, Twistors, and Emergent Gravity," arXiv:1112.5210 [hep-th]; "Gravity Amplitudes from a Gaussian Matrix Model," JHEP **1309**, 150 (2013) [arXiv:1112.5209 [hep-th]].

[12] J. Castelino, S. Lee and W. Taylor, Nucl. Phys. B **526**, 334 (1998) [hep-th/9712105]; S. Ramgoolam, Nucl. Phys. B **610**, 461 (2001) [hep-th/0105006].

[13] T. Jacobson, "Thermodynamics of space-time: The Einstein equation of state," Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1260 (1995) [gr-qc/9504004]

[14] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, "Holographic derivation of entanglement entropy from AdS/CFT," Phys. Rev. Lett. **96** (2006) 181602.

[15] T. Faulkner, M. Guica, T. Hartman, R. C. Myers and M. Van Raamsdonk, "Gravitation from Entanglement in Holographic CFTs," [arXiv:1312.7856 [hep-th]].

[16] M. A. Vasiliev, "Higher spin gauge theories in various dimensions," Fortsch. Phys. **52**, 702 (2004) [hep-th/0401177].